

Optimization of therapeutic protein production in an aquatic plant expression system using DOE JMP Discovery Summit 2011 Vincent Wingate, Jeff Regan and Lynn Dickey, Biolex Therapeutics

# **Outline of talk**

- Description of Lemna Expression (LEX) System<sup>SM</sup> Technology
- Description of LEX System<sup>SM</sup> Manufacturing
- An example of how we use Custom Design DOE in JMP 9.0 to optimize the yield of a vaccine antigen
- Conclusions



## LEX System<sup>SM</sup> Technology

# The LEX System<sup>SM</sup> uses the higher aquatic plant *Lemna* (duckweed)



### One Lemna plant consists of:

- •Three frond cluster (three leaves)
- •A single root





# The LEX System<sup>SM</sup>: Ideal for expression of therapeutic proteins

### Mammalian cell culture-like features:

- Clonal
- Lemna easily transformed with DNA to give transgenic
  Lemna line making recombinant protein of choice
  (e.g. vaccine antigen, antibodies)
- Doubles every 36 hours
- High protein content
- Makes small and large recombinant proteins
- Complex post-translational processing
- Secretes recombinant protein

### Added Lemna advantages

- Simple salts media, animal derived component free
- No animal viruses
- Manufacturing in a contained, aseptic, animal free disposable upstream bag and harvesting production system in controlled cGMP facilities
- Lower capital cost



5



## LEX System<sup>SM</sup> Manufacturing

# Large bag: Manufacturing bioproduction format



4' X 8' disposable bags stacked 8 high on illuminated racks. Totally enclosed disposable manufacturing system

## Large bag: Manufacturing bioproduction format-easily scalable





**Use of Design of Experiment (DOE) in optimization of a vaccine antigen production** 

# Scaled down model: Growth chambers with small forced air disposable bags used for upstream DOE





# **Use of DOE in optimization of vaccine antigen production**

From our experience, process knowledge and preliminary experiments we identified potential critical factors that may affect our vaccine product quality and quantity (responses)



### **DOE** experiment to examine the impact of light, carbon dioxide and nitrogen on vaccine antigen production



I will now use JMP 9 to show how to set up a Custom Design DOE and then analyze the data from one experiment used for optimizing the yield of a vaccine antigen in a transgenic *Lemna* line

### Effects of critical factors on the biomass yield



# Effects of critical factors on the total soluble protein per gram of biomass



## Effects of critical factors on the vaccine antigen in units per gram of biomass (specific productivity)

#### Prediction Profiler

#### 10 units per gram Vaccine in ±2.550741 7.914279 6 73745 06175 ė ġ 200 \$ ġ 2 ĝ 000 8 8 450 200 550 000 2 72945 4.8 26 75 1200 450 19 Growth [KNO3] [CO2] Light intensity in mM in umols/m2/sec time in days in ppm Interaction Profiles units per grarr wth time in d 9 -Vaccine in 7 20 20 20 Growth 5 time in days 26 3. 1 units per gram KN03] in mM <u>9</u>· Vaccine in 7-75 [KN03] 25 5÷ 35 25 in mM 3-1 units per gram [CO2] in ppm 9-3 Vaccine in 7 1200 [CO2] 5÷ 1200 1288 in ppm 400 3. 1. insity in umols units per gram 9-3 Vaccine in 7-450 660 660 Light intensity 5in umols/m2/sec 600 3. 600 600 200 200 8488668 400-5 550 009 8 450 200 8 3

#### Summary of Fit

RSquare	0.7
RSquare Adj	0.60
Root Mean Square Error	1.17
Mean of Response	
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	

Prob>|t|

0.1345

0.1306

0.4881

0.4990

0.5428

0.0218\*

0.0059\*

#### Parameter Estimates

Term	
Intercept	
Growth time in days(20,26)	
[KNO3] in mM(25,75)	
[CO2] in ppm(400,1200)	
Light intensity in umols/m2/sec	
[KNO3] in mM*[CO2] in ppm	
Growth time in days*Light intensity	

## **Effects of critical factors on the vaccine antigen in** units per growth bag

#### Prediction Profiler 700 Summary of Fit 600 HA in units ±202.8993 500 0.809536 per bag 460.5941 RSquare RSquare Adj 0.771443 400 Root Mean Square Error 90.83641 300-Mean of Response 370.9474 200 Observations (or Sum Wgts) 19 100 0 Parameter Estimates Prob>|t| 3 24 28 450 200 550. 009 2 2 Intercept 0.8123 0.3186 Growth time in days(20,26) 20 600 Light intensity in umols/m2/sec 0.4110 Growth Light intensity Growth time in days\*Light intensity 0.0064\* in umols/m2/sec time in davs Interaction Profiles with time in d HA in units 600 20 per bag 400 Growth 26 time in days 200 0 insity in umole HA in units 600 per bag 450 400 Light intensity 600 in umols/m2/sec 200 0 450 8 2 24 29 200 550 . 009

Term

### 17

## Conclusions

### We used the Custom DOE format in SAS JMP® 9.0 software in Upstream Process Development:

- To identify critical factors that influence the yield and quality of recombinant proteins (e.g. vaccine antigens, antibodies)
- Optimization of the upstream process for the **responses** of recombinant protein yield and quality
- Failure mode effects analysis (FMEA)